Flyback Converter Design

Webinar June 26 2025 10:00 am PDT

Dr. Ray Ridley

Ridley Engineering



Our Organizers Today



Arthur Nace – Retired aerospace engineer and programmer who automated LTspice models for us. Our longest user of RidleyWorks.

John Beecroft – Course instructor with Ridley Engineering for 20 years.





Denise Ridley – The marketing and business brains behind Ridley Engineering. Denise made our workshops happen 25 years ago.





MAY 18, 1928 - MAY 23, 2025

Lloyd Henry Dixon Jr., age 97, of Naples, Florida passed away on Friday, May 23, 2025.

Download Lloyd Dixon's Magnetics Handbook



Flyback Design Choices

CCM or DCM – not a binary choice

Quasi-Resonant

RHP Zeros

RCD clamp

Isolated Feedback TL431

Cross Regulation

Snubbers

Active clamp



Converter Specifications





DCM or CCM?





Default Design > 10W CCM Operation



Switch Current (A)





Deeper CCM Bigger inductor Value



DCM or CCM?

Low Line CCM



Low Line DCM



Deeper DCM Smaller Inductor Value



Quasi-Resonant? How Much?

Low Line DCM 30:1 Step Down (versus 11:1)



QR Mode Change in frequency Increase inductance to lower the frequency Peak Current Reduces

QR Mode High Line QR Mode 290 kHz

Ridley Engineering



CCM vs Full Quasi-Resonant

Redesign CCM with 0.64 Dmax 200 kHz frequency





Secondary Current CCM

Secondary Current QR DCM



Magnetics for the Flyback

High level designer now in RidleyWorks

Real intelligence based on 40 years experience

Core selection

Winding design

Core losses

Proximity losses

Multiple designs in very short time







Transformer Design CCM and QR









#2 Saved Transformer Design CCM		
Magnetizing Inductance	2849.73	uH
Primary Current Limit	0.382	Α
Core Type	RM6	
Core Area	0.31	sq. cm
Core Material	R	
B max	0.297	т
Approximate Gap	0.19 / 18.4	mm/mils
AL Value	204.66	nH/n2
Leakage Inductance	21.93	uH
Primary Turns	118	
Wire Type	Magnet Wire	
Wire Size	33	awg
Layers	4	Split
Number of Strands	1	
Each End Margin	0.00	mm
Insulation	0.000	mm
Window Used	36.0	%
DC Resistance	2.0	Ohm
Secondary Turns	7	
Wire Type	Triple Insulated Wire	
Wire Size	20	awg
Layers	1	
Number of Strands	1	
Each End Margin	0.00	mm
Insulation	0.000	mils
Window Used	40.8	%
DC Resistance	7.5	mOhm

Ridley^IQ[™]

RM6

#1 Saved Transformer Design QR DCM		
Magnetizing Inductance	2114.71	uH
Primary Current Limit	0.529	Α
Core Type	RM6	
Core Area	0.31	sq. cm
Core Material	R	
B max	0.298	Т
Approximate Gap	0.26 / 34.4	mm/mils
AL Value	144.43	nH/n2
Leakage Inductance	20.81	uH
Primary Turns	121	
Wire Type	Magnet Wire	
Wire Size	33	awg
Layers	4	Split
Number of Strands	1	
Each End Margin	0.00	mm
Insulation	0.000	mm
Window Used	36.0	%
DC Resistance	2.0	Ohm
Secondary Turns	4	
Wire Type	Triple Insulated Wire	
Wire Size	22	awg
Layers	1	
Number of Strands	2	
Each End Margin	0.00	mm
Insulation	0.000	mils
Window Used	33.5	%
DC Resistance	3.4	mOhm

Ridley Engineering

RHP Zero Paranoia!







Don't Worry about the RHP Zero Design the power stage wherever it wants to be

Ridley Engineering

Elimination of the Double Pole is more Important than RHP Zero





Ridley Engineering The voltage-mode LC filter COMBINED with the RHP zero can be a little more difficult.

Low line full load is the key. Resonance is the highest, RHP zero is the lowest.

Solution-current-mode control!

RCD Clamp







$$P_{sn}^{\max} = P_l \left(1 + \frac{v_f}{v_x^{\max}} \right)$$

Dissipation is higher than the energy storage in the leakage inductor

The harder you clamp, the more the dissipation.

It sometimes looks like the RCD clamp is universal

It is not. All the products we have built did NOT use the RCD approach.

The RCD clamp still usually needs an RC snubber



RC Snubbers





Dissipation can be higher than the energy storage in the leakage inductor

The harder you damp the ringing, the more the dissipation.

Do you need the diode snubber for DCM?





Active Clamp Flyback



Lots of chips available

I'm not a fan. My job is to make sure none of my designs ever fail.

Too much complexity.

Two-Switch Flyback

Lower on-resistance

Rugged

Energy recovered

WCA is much easier!





TL431 Feedback

R₃

Rb

Ş

V _{bias pri}

C₄ †



Ridley Engineering

Ridley Engineering's First Project (1991)



12 VDC to 240 VAC Input (4 versions)

24 V 0.5 A Output

5 V auxiliary 0.1 A

Control primary bias winding 12 V 50 mA

Safety Isolation

UC2843 Controller (>50%)

100 kHz switching frequency

RC snubbers only

No feedback across isolation boundary

Transformer RM6 core



Ridley Engineering's First Project (1991)



Problem: How to get the best coupling between the two outputs?



Regulation = +/- 20%

Change the Transformer Windings

Regulation = +/- 2%



Ridley Engineering's First Project (1991)



The intricacies of winding arrangements in this small transformer enabled a 10,000 A breaker



How to Learn More

RIDLEY

Email <u>info@ridleyengineering.com</u> For full demo



Education > Power Design Workshop > Intro

POWER SUPPLY DESIGN WORKSHOPS



Frequency Response Analyzers



Ridley Engineering

Power Supply Design Center Facebook Group

Power Supply Design Center Articles







Power Stage Designer Power Stage Waveforms Magnetics Designer Transfer Function Bode Plots Closed Loop Design Automated FRA Control LTspice® Automated Link LLC Designer



4-Channel Frequency Response Analyzer

Frequency Range 1 Hz - 20 MHZ Source Control from 1 mV - 4 V P-P Built-In Injection Isolator Bandwidth 1 Hz - 1 kHz Automated Setup from RidleyWorks® Drect Data Flow into RidleyWorks®



4-Channel 200 MHz Oscilloscope

Picoscope[®] 5444D 4-Channel Oscilloscope 200 MHz Bandwidth 1 GS/s at 8-bit res; 62.5 MS/s at 16-bit res Signal Generator up to 20 MHZ Computer Controlled



Ridley Engineering

Embedded Computer

Intel[®] Computer with 32 GB RAM, 256 GB SSD Intel[®] HD Graphics 620 Integrated Dual Band Wireless, Bluetooth 4.2 Dual HDMI and USB Ports, Ethernet

